

RECEIVED

February 2, 2012

SUPERFUND DIVISION

Mr. Jason Gunter Remedial Project Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 - Superfund Branch 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

Re: National Mine Tailings Site Progress Report

Dear Mr. Gunter:

As required by Article VI, Section 51 of the Unilateral Administrative Order (Docket No.CERCLA-07-2006-0231) for the referenced project and on behalf of The Doe Run Company and NL Industries, Inc., the progress report for the period December 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 is enclosed. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at 573-638-5020 or Mark Nations at 573-518-0600.

Sincerely,

TyL. Morris, P.E., R.G.

Vice President

TLM/jms Enclosure

c: Mark Nations - TDRC

Matt Wohl – TDRC (electronic only)

Steve Batts - TDRC (electronic only)

Kevin Lombardozzi – NL Industries, Inc.

John Kennedy - City of Park Hills

Norm Lucas - Park Hills - Leadington Chamber of Commerce

Kathy Rangen - MDNR

Tim Skoglund - Barr Engineering

40385935 Superfund

National Mine Tailings Site

Park Hills, Missouri

Removal Action - Monthly Progress Report

Period: December 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

1. Actions Performed and Problems Encountered This Period:

- a. Work at the site continued on the task of covering the southern slope of the main chat pile with rock. This work included placing a 6-inch layer of crushed rock filter on the graded surface and a 12-inch layer of slope riprap on top of the crushed rock filter. As of the end of the period, work on this task had been completed on all of the slopes including the benches, except for the slope and the bench 50 feet on either side of the down chute located near Easting Coordinate 817300.
- b. Work on the Piramal Glass property west of Forest Street, across from the Lee Mechanical office building, continued. This work focused on surveying the area for the purposes of developing the design for completing grade work in this area. As of the end of the period, approximately half of the area had been surveyed.
- c. Work also continued on the task of constructing the slopes adjacent to the railroad tracks north of the main chat pile and the slopes on the south side of the Lee Mechanical property to the final subgrade elevations. As of the end of the period, work on this task had been completed.
 - Once these slopes had been surveyed and found to be within the grade tolerances identified in the Specifications, work began on the task of covering these slopes with rock. This included a 6-inch layer of crushed rock filter and a 12-inch layer of slope protection rock. As of the end of the period, work on this task had been completed.
- d. Work at the site continued on the task of meeting with the landowners who may be affected by the removal action activities. This included meeting with landowners who signed an access agreement prior to April 1, 2008, which needed to be amended, as well as landowners who have not signed agreements. As of the end of the period, the following had been accomplished:

Landowners that own property within the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 22

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 18

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 1

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 3

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 0

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 18

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 16

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 1

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 1

(Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

Landowners that own property immediately adjacent to the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 27

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 11

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 6

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 4

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 3

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 3

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 0

(It is not anticipated that it will be a challenge to work around the property owned by the three landowners that refused to sign the access agreement based on location of the property in relationship to the work that needs to be completed. Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

2. Analytical Data and Results Received This Period:

- a. During this period, water samples were collected at the sampling locations identified in Appendix C of the Removal Action Work Plan where water was present. Copies of the analytical results from the last sampling event are included with this progress report.
- b. During this period, the Ambient Air Monitoring Report for third quarter 2011 and October 2011 were received. Any issues identified in these reports are discussed below. A copy of these documents has been sent to your attention.

The third quarter 2011 Ambient Air Monitoring Report noted the following:

- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 7/4/11 due to the holiday.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 7/18/11 and 7/19/11 due to the entire crew being in training.
- There was a QA blank filter associated with the National #2 TSP and PM₁₀ monitors on 7/26/11.
- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 9/5/11 due to the holiday.

The October 2011 Ambient Air Monitoring Report noted the following:

- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 10/20/11 due to training.

3. Developments Anticipated and Work Scheduled for Next Period:

- a. Resume stripping activities in the Thin Tailings Area.
- b. Continue removing slope fill from the main chat pile.
- c. Continue constructing the slopes of the Chat Pile Area.
- d. Continue rocking portions of the Chat Pile Area and East Erosion Area that have been constructed to the final subgrade elevations.
- e. Finish the detailed design on the portion of the Piramal Glass property located west of the Lee Mechanical office building.
- f. Continue constructing the eastern buttressing slope between Northing Coordinates N737900 and N738400.
- g. Complete monthly water sampling activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- h. Complete air monitoring activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- i. Continue efforts to contact and meet with the landowners identified as potentially being affected by the removal action activities so that access agreements can be obtained.

4. Changes in Personnel:

a. None.

5. Issues or Problems Arising This Period:

a. None.

National Mine Tailings Site - Monthly Progress Report Period: December 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011 Page 3

- 6. Resolution of Issues or Problems Arising This Period:
 - a. None.

End of Monthly Progress Report



December 28, 2011

Allison Olds Barr Engineering Company 1001 Diamond Ridge Suite 1100 Jefferson City, MO 65109

TEL: (573) 638-5007 FAX: (573) 638-5001

RE: National MTS-25/86-0003 **WorkOrder:** 11120954

Dear Allison Olds:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Austin
Project Manager

(618)344-1004 ex 16

MAustin@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Dec-11

This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Laboratory Results	5
Sample Summary	7
Dates Report	8
Quality Control Results	9
Receiving Check List	14
Chain of Custody	Appended



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003 Report Date: 28-Dec-11

Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - MB Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix type containing the analyte.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

NELAP NELAP Accredited

- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level



Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Work Order: 11120954 Report Date: 28-Dec-11

Cooler Receipt Temp: 3.6 °C

Locations and Accreditations

	Collinsville			Springfield		_	Kansas City
Address	5445 Horseshoe Lake Road	А	Address	3920 Pintail Dr		Address	8421 Nieman Road
	Collinsville, IL 62234-7425			Springfield, IL 6271	1-9415		Lenexa, KS 66214
Phone	(618) 344-1004	P	hone	(217) 698-1004		Phone	(913) 541-1998
Fax	(618) 344-1005	F	ax	(217) 698-1005		Fax	(913) 541-1998
Email	jhriley@teklabinc.com	Е	mail	kmcclain@teklabine	c.com	Email	dthompson@teklabinc.com
State		Dept		Cert#	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab
Illinois	1	IEPA		100226	NELAP	1/31/2012	Collinsville
Kansas	1	KDHE		E-10374	NELAP	1/31/2012	Collinsville
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166493	NELAP	6/30/2012	Collinsville
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166578	NELAP	6/30/2012	Springfield
Arkans	38.5	ADEQ		88-0966		3/14/2012	Collinsville
Illinois	ı	IDPH		17584		4/30/2012	Collinsville
Kentuc	aky	UST		0073		5/26/2012	Collinsville
Missou	ıri	MDNR		00930		4/13/2013	Collinsville
Oklaho	oma	ODEO		9978		8/31/2012	Collinsville



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Dec-11

Lab ID: 11120954-001

Client Sample ID: Nat-East

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Collection Date: 12/20/2011 14:25

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 600 375.2 REV 2.0 1993	3 (TOTAL)							<u> </u>
Sulfate	NELAP	75		228	mg/L	1	12/22/2011 16:46	R158102
STANDARD METHOD 18TH	ED. 4500-H B, LABOR	ATORY ANA	ALYZED					
Lab pH	NELAP	1.00		8.08		1	12/21/2011 16:44	R158038
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 2340 C							
Hardness, as (CaCO3)	NELAP	5		500	mg/L	1	12/22/2011 6:45	R158019
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 2540 C (TOTAL)							
Total Dissolved Solids	NELAP	40		568	mg/L	2	12/21/2011 14:13	R158082
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 2540 D	_						
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6		144	mg/L	1	12/21/2011 13:48	R158073
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 2540 F		<u> </u>			_		
Solids, Settleable	NELAP	0.1		0.5	ml/L	1	12/21/2011 13:50	R158035
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 5310 C, ORGANI	C CARBON					•	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	NELAP	1.0		1.6	mg/L	1	12/23/2011 8:07	R158167
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, M	ETALS BY ICP (DISSO	LVED)				_		·. –
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	μg/L	1	12/23/2011 18:56	73867
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		19.1	μg/L	1	12/23/2011 18:56	73867
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, M	ETALS BY ICP (TOTAL	-)	-				·	
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00		< 2.00	µg/L	1	12/22/2011 17:35	73808
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		316	μg/L	1	12/22/2011 17:35	73808
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 3030 B, 3113 B,	METALS BY	GFAA ([DISSOLVED)				
Lead	NELAP	2.00	X	5.29	μg/L	1	12/22/2011 16:33	73810
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 3030 E, 3113 B, I	METALS BY	GFAA				11-1	
Lead	NELAP	20.0	X	314	μg/L	10	12/23/2011 12:31	73807



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Dec-11

Lab ID: 11120954-002

Client Sample ID: Nat-NW

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Collection Date: 12/20/2011 14:00

Analyses	Certification	RL Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 600 375.2 REV 2.0 1993	(TOTAL)	· .					
Sulfate	NELAP	10	37	mg/L	1	12/23/2011 15:41	R158144
STANDARD METHOD 18TH	ED. 4500-H B, LABOR	ATORY ANALYZEI)		•		<u> </u>
Lab pH	NELAP	1.00	8.02		1	12/21/2011 16:46	R158038
STANDARD METHODS 18T	H ED. 2340 C						
Hardness, as (CaCO3)	NELAP	5	160	mg/L	1	12/22/2011 6:45	R158019
STANDARD METHODS 18TI	H ED. 2540 C (TOTAL)					·,	
Total Dissolved Solids	NELAP	20	174	mg/L	1	12/21/2011 14:15	R158082
STANDARD METHODS 18TI	H ED. 2540 D			•			
Total Suspended Solids	NELAP	6	< 6	mg/L	1	12/21/2011 13:50	R158073
STANDARD METHODS 18TI	H ED. 2540 F	:		, .	•	•	
Solids, Settleable	NELAP	0.1	< 0.1	ml/L	1	12/21/2011 13:50	R158035
STANDARD METHODS 18TI	HED. 5310 C, ORGAN	C CARBON			,	•	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	NELAP	1.0	2.3	mg/L	1	12/23/2011 8:07	R158167
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, M	ETALS BY ICP (DISSO	LVED)					
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00	< 2.00	µg/L	1	12/23/2011 19:02	73867
Zinc	NELAP	10.0	< 10.0	µg/L	1	12/23/2011 19:02	73867
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, M	ETALS BY ICP (TOTAL	_)					
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00	< 2.00	µg/L	1	12/22/2011 17:40	73808
Zinc	NELAP	10.0	< 10.0	μg/L	1	12/22/2011 17:40	73808
STANDARD METHODS 18TI	1 ED. 3030 B, 3113 B, I	METALS BY GFAA	(DISSOLVED))			
Lead	NELAP	2.00	< 2.00	μg/L	1	12/22/2011 16:50	73810
STANDARD METHODS 18TH	1 ED. 3030 E, 3113 B, I	METALS BY GFAA					
Lead	NELAP	2.00	< 2.00	μg/L	1	12/23/2011 12:48	73807



Sample Summary

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Work Order: 11120954

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Fractions	Collection Date
11120954-001	Nat-East	Aqueous	5	12/20/2011 14:25
11120954-002	Nat-NW	Aqueous	5	12/20/2011 14:00



Dates Report

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

11120954-001B	Test Name Nat-East Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 F Nat-East EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total) Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)	12/20/2011 14:25 12/20/2011 14:25	Prep Date/Time 12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM 12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	Analysis Date/Time 12/21/2011 13:50 12/22/2011 16:46 12/21/2011 16:44 12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13 12/21/2011 13:48
11120954-001B	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 F Nat-East EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total) Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25		12/22/2011 16:46 12/21/2011 16:44 12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13
	Nat-East EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total) Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East		12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	12/22/2011 16:46 12/21/2011 16:44 12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13
	EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total) Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East		12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	12/21/2011 16:44 12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13
11120954-001C	Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East			12/21/2011 16:44 12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13
11120954-001C	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East			12/22/2011 6:45 12/21/2011 14:13
11120954-001C	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total) Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25		12/21/2011 14:13
11120954-001C	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25		
11120954-001C	Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25		12/21/2011 13:48
11120954-001C		12/20/2011 14:25		
	EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)	and the second s	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
		•	12/21/2011 14:54	12/22/2011 17:35
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 3030 E, 3113 B, Metals by GFA	A	12/21/2011 14:53	12/23/2011 12:31
11120954-001D	Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Dissolved)	•	12/23/2011 8:49	12/23/2011 18:56
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 3030 B, 3113 B, Metals by GFA	AA (Dissolved)	12/21/2011 15:53	12/22/2011 16:33
11120954-001E	Nat-East	12/20/2011 14:25	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	:
•	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 5310 C, Organic Carbon	ř		12/23/2011 8:07
11120954-002A	Nat-NW	12/20/2011 14:00	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 F	•	·	12/21/2011 13:50
11120954-002B	Nat-NW	12/20/2011 14:00	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total)			12/23/2011 15:41
	Standard Method 18th Ed. 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed		•	12/21/2011 16:46
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2340 C			12/22/2011 6:45
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 C (Total)			12/21/2011 14:15
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 2540 D			12/21/2011 13:50
11120954-002C	Nat-NW	12/20/2011 14:00	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)		12/21/2011 14:54	12/22/2011 17:40
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 3030 E, 3113 B, Metals by GFA	A	12/21/2011 14:53	12/23/2011 12:48
11120954-002D	Nat-NW	12/20/2011 14:00	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Dissolved)	•	12/23/2011 8:49	12/23/2011 19:02
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 3030 B, 3113 B, Metals by GFA	A (Dissolved)	12/21/2011 15:53	12/22/2011 16:50
11120954-002E	Nat-NW	12/20/2011 14:00	12/21/2011 10:00:00 AM	
	Standard Methods 18th Ed. 5310 C, Organic Carbon			12/23/2011 8:07



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

SampType:	MRIK		Units mg/L						<u></u>	
			Omo mg/L							Date
		RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
		75		< 75						12/22/201
SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							
		DI	01	D14	G:1	SDK Ref Val	%BEC	l ow Limit	High Limit	Date Analyzed
 		75	Quai		150	0	97.5	90	110	12/22/201
	MBLK		Units mg/L					·		Date
		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
		10		< 10						12/23/201
SampType:	LCS	<u>.</u>	Units mg/L							
										Date
		RL	Qual							Analyzed
		10		20	20	0	97.8	90	110	12/23/2011
OD 18TH ED). 4500 -	H B, LA	BORATORY	ANALYZE	D					
SampType:	LCS		Units							
										Date
		RL_	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val		Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
		RL 1.00	Qual		<u>Spike</u> 7.00	SPK Ref Val	%REC 100.3	Low Limit 99.1	High Limit	
	DUP		Qual Units					99.1		Analyzed
SampType: 001BDUP	DUP	1.00	Units	7.02	7.00	0	100.3	99.1 RPD	100.8 Limit 10	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date
	DUP	1.00 RL		7.02	7.00		100.3	99.1 RPD RPD Ref \	100.8 Limit 10	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed
	DUP	1.00	Units	7.02	7.00	0	100.3	99.1 RPD	100.8 Limit 10	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date
		1.00 RL	Units	7.02	7.00	0	100.3	99.1 RPD RPD Ref \ 8.080	100.8 Limit 10	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed
001BDUP		1.00 RL	Units Qual	7.02	7.00	0	100.3	99.1 RPD RPD Ref \ 8.080	100.8 Limit 10 /al %RPD 0.12	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed 12/21/2011
001BDUP SampType:		1.00 RL	Units Qual	7.02 Result 8.09	7.00 Spike	0	100.3 %REC	99.1 RPD RPD Ref \ 8.080	100.8 Limit 10 /al %RPD 0.12 Limit 10	Date Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed 12/21/2011
001BDUP SampType:		1.00 RL 1.00	Units Qual Units	7.02 Result 8.09	7.00 Spike	0 SPK Ref Val	100.3 %REC	99.1 RPD RPD Ref \ 8.080	100.8 Limit 10 /al %RPD 0.12 Limit 10	Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed 12/21/2011
001BDUP SampType:	DUP	1.00 RL 1.00 RL 1.00	Units Qual Units Qual	Result 8.09	7.00 Spike	0 SPK Ref Val	100.3 %REC	99.1 RPD Ref \ 8.080 RPD Ref \ RPD Ref \	100.8 Limit 10 /al %RPD 0.12 Limit 10	Date Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed 12/21/2011
SampType:	DUP ED. 234	1.00 RL 1.00 RL 1.00	Units Qual Units	Result 8.09	7.00 Spike	0 SPK Ref Val	100.3 %REC	99.1 RPD Ref \ 8.080 RPD Ref \ RPD Ref \	100.8 Limit 10 /al %RPD 0.12 Limit 10	Date Analyzed 12/21/2011 Date Analyzed 12/21/2011
	SampType: SampType:	SampType: LCS SampType: MBLK SampType: LCS	RL 75 SampType: LCS RL 75 SampType: MBLK RL 10 SampType: LCS RL 10 OD 18TH ED. 4500-H B, LA	RL Qual 75 SampType: LCS Units mg/L RL Qual 75 SampType: MBLK Units mg/L RL Qual 10 SampType: LCS Units mg/L RL Qual 10 OD 18TH ED. 4500-H B, LABORATORY	RL Qual Result 75 < 75	RL Qual Result Spike 75 < 75	RL Qual Result Spike SPK Ref Val 75 <75	RL Qual Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC 75	RL Qual Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit 75 < 75	RL Qual Result Spike SPK Ref Val %REC Low Limit High Limit



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

STANDARD METHODS 18TH I	EU. 234	UC			·	••			• .	
Batch R158019 SampType: SampID: LCS-R158019	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Hardness, as (CaCO3)		5		1000	1000	0	100.0	90	110	12/21/2011
Batch R158019 SampType:	MS	•	Units mg/L							
SamplD: 11120954-002BMS										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual		Spike	SPK Ref Val			High Limit	Analyzed
Hardness, as (CaCO3)		5		560	400	160.0	100.0	85	115	12/22/2011
Batch R158019 SampType:	MSD		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 10	
SamplD: 11120954-002BMSD										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Hardness, as (CaCO3)		5		540	400	160.0	95.0	560.0	3.64	12/22/2011
STANDARD METHODS 18TH E	D. 254	0 C (TO	TAL)							
Batch R158082 SampType: SampID: MBLK	MBLK		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids		20		< 20						12/21/2011
Total Dissolved Solids		20		< 20						12/21/2011
Batch R158082 SampType: SampID: LCS	LCS		Units mg/L						······································	Date
Analyses		RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids		20		998	1000	0	99.8	90	110	12/21/2011
Total Dissolved Solids		20		1000	1000	0	100.2	90	110	12/21/2011
Batch R158082 SampType: SampID: 11120954-001B MS	MS		Units mg/L			· /				Date
Analyses		RL	Oual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	<u> </u>	40	- Quai	1620	1000	568.0	105.2	85	115	12/21/2011
Batch R158082 SampType:	MSD		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 15	
SampID: 11120954-001B MSD										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids		40		1640	1000	568.0	106.8	1620	0.98	12/21/2011
STANDARD METHODS 18TH E	D. 254	0 D							-	
Batch R158073 SampType: SampID: MBLK	MBLK		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids		6		< 6	-p410				_	12/21/2011



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 28-Dec-11

	DS 18TH E			Units mg/L							
Batch R158073 SampID: LCS	SampType:	LC2		Onits mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Demile	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended So	lide		<u> </u>	Quai	101	100	0	101.0	85	115	12/21/201
Total Suspended So			6		91	100	0	91.0	85	115	12/21/201
Total Suspended So			6		100	100	0	100.0	85	, 115	12/21/2011
Batch R158073 \$	SampType:	DUP		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 15	
SamplD: 11120954-00	2B DUP			_							Date
Analyses			RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref	Val %RPD	Analyzed
Total Suspended So	lids		6		< 6				0	0.00	12/21/2011
STANDARD METHO	DS 18TH E	D. 531	0 C, OR	GANIC CARB	ON						
Batch R158167 S	SampType:	MBLK		Units mg/L							
SampID: MB-R158167	7										Date
Analyses			RL_	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbo	n (TOC)		1.0		< 1.0						12/23/2011
	SampType:	LCS		Units mg/L		·		····			
SampID: LCS-R15816	37										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val			High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbo	n (TOC)		5.0		50.8	48.2	0	105.4	89.6	109.5	12/23/2011
	SampType:	MS		Units mg/L					-		
SampID: 11120954-00	2EMS										Date Analyzed
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result					High Limit	
Total Organic Carbo	n (TOC)		1.0		6.7	5.0	2.290	88.4	80	120	12/23/2011
	SampType:	MSD		Units mg/L	·				RPD	Limit 15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SampID: 11120954-00	2EMSD										Date Analyzed
Analyses			RL_	Qual	Result					/al %RPD	
Total Organic Carbo	n (TOC)		1.0		6.8	5.0	2.290	90.4	6.710	1.48	12/23/2011
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7				ISSOLVED)						-	
Batch 73867 S SampID: MB-73867	SampType:	MBLK		Units µg/L				,			Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	12/23/2011
Zinc			10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	12/23/2011
	SampType:	LCS		Units µg/L							
SampID: LCS-73867											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual			SPK Ref Val			High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		46.1		0	92.2	85	115	12/23/2011
Zinc			10.0		475	500	0	95.0	85	115	12/23/2011



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 11120954

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R	4.4, MET	ALS B	Y ICP (E	DISSOLVED)						•	
Batch 73867 Sa SamplD: 11120954-002	mpType: DMS	MS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		43.4	50.0	0	86.8	75	125	12/23/2011
Zinc			10.0		451	500	0	90.3	75	125	12/23/2011
Batch 73867 Sa	mpType:	MSD	. ,	Units µg/L					RPD	Limit 20	
SamplD: 11120954-002	DMSD										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		44.0	50.0	0	88.0	43.4	1.37	12/23/2011
Zinc			10.0		459	500	0	91.9	451.4	1.76	12/23/2011
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R	4.4, MET	ALS B	Y ICP (T	OTAL)		•	. , .				•
Batch 73808 San	трТуре:	MBLK		Units µg/L		_					
SampID: MB-73808											Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	12/22/2011
Cadmium			2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	12/22/2011
Batch 73808 SamplD: LCS-73808	трТуре:	LCS		Units µg/L							
•							CDK D-41/-1	WD50	l accel tank	I limb I imak	Date Analyzed
Analyses			RL	Qual			SPK Ref Val			High Limit	
Cadmium			2.00		51.8	50.0	0	103.6	85	115	12/22/2011
Cadmium			2.00		52.3	50.0	0	104.6	85 85	115	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		538	500	0	107.6	85 05	115	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		535	500	0	107.1	85	115	12/22/2011
Batch 73808 Sai	mpType:	MS		Units µg/L							
SamplD: 11120954-0020	CMS										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium	•		2.00		51.7	50.0	0	103.4	75	125	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		525	500	3.1	104.4	75	125	12/22/2011
Batch 73808 San	mpType:	MSD		Units µg/L					RPD	Limit 20	
SamplD: 11120954-0020	CMSD										Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref V	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Cadmium			2.00		50.5	50.0	0	101.0	51.7	2.35	12/22/2011
Zinc			10.0		517	500	3.1	102.7	525	1.57	12/22/2011
_			•								



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 11120954
Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003 Report Date: 28-Dec-11

STANDARD METHODS 18TH				0.70	1/2.00	OLVED,				
Batch 73810 SampType SampID: MB-73810	: MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead	<u></u>	2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	12/22/2011
Batch 73810 SampType SampID: LCS-73810	: LCS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual		Spike				High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		13.9	15.0	0	92.4	80	. 120	12/22/2011
Batch 73810 SampType SampID: 11120954-001DMS	: MS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL_	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		17.5	15.0	5.2882	81.4	70	130	12/22/2011
Batch 73810 SampType SampID: 11120954-001DMSD	: MSD		Units µg/L						Limit 20	Date Analyzed
Analyses		<u>RL</u>	Qual	Result		SPK Ref Val			/al %RPD	
Lead		2.00		17.5	15.0	5.2882	81.4	17.4966	0.01	12/22/2011
STANDARD METHODS 18TH				BY GFAA	\					
Batch 73807 SampType SampID: MB-73807	: MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	12/23/2011
Batch 73807 SampType SampID: LCS-73807	: LCS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		13.3	15.0	0	88.8	80	120	12/23/2011
Batch 73807 SampType SampID: 11120954-001CMS	: MS		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		20.0		326	15.0		75.4	70	130	12/23/2011
Batch 73807 SampType	: MSD		Units μg/L				· <u>. </u>	RPD	Limit 20	
SampID: 11120954-001CMSD										Date
A = . 1		RL	Qual	Decuit	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref V	al %RPD	Analyzed
Analyses		KL	Quai	Kesmi	Shree	314.461				



Custody seal(s) intact on shipping container/cooler.

Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 11120954 Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003 Report Date: 28-Dec-11 Received By: TWM Carrier: Ricky Schmidt Completed by: Reviewed by: Headher A. White On: One 21-Dec-11 21-Dec-11 Timothy W. Mathis Heather A. White Pages to follow: Chain of custody Extra pages included No 🗌 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes 🗸 Not Present Temp *C ice 🗸 Blue Ice Type of thermal preservation? None Dry Ice Yes 🗹 No 🗆 Chain of custody present? Yes 🔽 No 🗌 Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Yes 🗹 Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? No 🗆 Yes 🗹 No 🗆 Samples in proper container/bottle? V No 🗆 Yes Sample containers intact? V Yes No 🗆 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? No 🗌 All samples received within holding time? Yes Field Lab 🗹 П Reported field parameters measured: Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? Yes 🗹 No 🗌 When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected. Yes 🗆 No 🗆 No VOA vials V Water - at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? Yes 🗌 No 🗆 No TOX containers ~ Yes 🗸 No 🗌 Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC.

Printform		5445 Horseshoe Lake		b Chain			-	104 ~ Ea	v-(619\3/	14-100		of	Work	corder_/	1120	1657
Barr Enginee	ring Co.	2443 Housestine Lake	Are the samples									reserved (/	1.	Lab)O Fi	ield
1001 Diamon	d Ridge, Suite 1100	0	Cooler Temp	Sampl	er Chri	s Schul	te					1	12/2	1(1)		
Jefferson City	/	мо 65109	<u></u>	Invoice to Ma			esults t			d Marl	k Natio	ns, mnat	ions@do	perun.c	com	
National MTS	- 25/86-0003		Comments	Matrix is surf Metals = Cd,		er.		127	21/11	Pick	ve 3	eal int	ck chos			
Contact Allis	on Olds	eMail aolds@barr.	com Phor	ne 573-638-5	5007 F	Reques	sted Du	ie Date S	tandard	E	Billing/	PO Per co	ntract w	ith Do	e Run	
Lab Use	Sample ID	Sample Date/Tim	ne Preservative	Matrix	Нq	T.S.S,	Total Dissolved Solids	Sulfate	Settleable Solids	T.O.C.	Total Metals	Dissolved Metals		Die	ne	
11120964	Nat-East	12/20/11/14	Unpres 5	Aqueous	\boxtimes	X	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\times	\boxtimes	X	\times	\boxtimes			$ u_{\Box} $
002	Vat - NW	A 14%	Unpres 3	Aqueous	图	K	F	£	K							
			Unpres	Aqueous		•										
			Unpres	Aqueous												
			Unpres	Aqueous												
			Unpres	Aqueous												
			Unpres	Aqueous												
			Unpres	Aqueous												

Relinquished By *	Date/Time	Received By	Date/Time ·
Chi Solo Ban	12/20/11/16:00	R-A-1	12/21/11 8:45
Run	12/2//11/ 10:00	THE THE	12.21.11 100

^{*}The individual signing this agreement on behalf of client acknowledges that they have read and understand the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority to sign on behalf of client.